Shear instabilities in the context of liquid atomization

Sylvain MARTY, Antoine DELON (PhD students) Alain CARTELLIER, <u>Jean-Philippe MATAS</u>*



* Since September 2015: Laboratoire de Mécanique des Fluides et d'Acoustique (LMFA), Lyon



U_G=22 m/s, U_L=0,42 m/s *L. Raynal, 1997*

Liquid atomization



Shear instability

Thesis of Sylvain Marty



Mixing layer configuration

1. Mechanism?

• Raynal (1997) and Marmottant & Villermaux (JFM 2004):

Simple temporal **inviscid** stability analysis accounts for experimental scaling of wavelength/frequency:



• Temporal viscous stability analysis fails miserably (wrong f, λ , velocity etc): why does **simpler inviscid approach** succeed in the 1st place??

Nature of instability: inviscid or viscous??

Effect of confinement

• Inclusion of finite liquid thickness in spatio temporal analysis:

 \Rightarrow Collision with confinement branch!!!



Reduction in frequency!

■ : experiments

Prediction when confinement is included

* : prediction of Otto et al (2013)

Inviscid vs viscous

Though shear mode is indeed viscous at ki_{max}

 Energy budget at pinch point shows that (work of Reynolds stresses in gas) >> (work of viscous stresses at interface)



- triggers instability in a range of k where mechanism is inviscid!
- Justifies relevance of **simplified inviscid approach**

2. Impact of turbulence on shear instability?

• Reproducibility issues in the mixing layer experiment: additional parameter?

 Experimental evidence that frequency of the shear instability not only depends on mean values, but also on intensity of velocity fluctuations in gas stream

• Experiment to quantify this?

1) Passive forcing (obstruction of varying height H)

Forcing produces same mean velocity profile, but differing turbulence intensity profiles.



e = 0.2 mm

4.25

FIG. 3. (color online) Hot-wire velocity profiles for $U_G = 27 \text{ m/s}$ and varying obstruction heights $H: \circ: H = 0$; $\Box: H = 5.6 \text{ cm}$; $\bullet: H = 8 \text{ cm}$; a) Mean velocity profile. b) Turbulence intensity u_{rms}/U_G .



Frequency increases with height of obstacle/turbulence intensity



Frequency increases with turbulence intensity whatever the forcing method



- All data collapse when plotted as a function of u'/U_G
- Independent of $\rm U_{G}$, $\rm U_{L}$ and forcing method

Impact on wavelength



u'/U = 2.3% f= 26Hz and λ ~ 3.4 cm



u'/U = 9% f= 53Hz and λ ~ 1.6 cm

- Wavelength decreases with turbulence intensity
- Wave velocity $\lambda f \approx \text{constant} \approx \frac{\sqrt{\rho_G} U_G + \sqrt{\rho_L} U_L}{\sqrt{\rho_G} + \sqrt{\rho_L}}$ (Dimotakis 1986)

Stability analysis?

Assumption: turbulent intensity modelled via Newtonian eddy viscosity, and injected in spatiotemporal stability analysis:

$$\rho u_{rms}^2 = \mu_{g turb} U_g / \delta_g \longrightarrow u_{rms} / U_g = \sqrt{\frac{\nu_{g turb}}{U_g \delta_g}}$$



★ : stability analysis prediction with eddy viscosity

All other symbols: experimental data

Matas et al, PRL 2015

3. Flapping instability

Thesis of Antoine Delon (co-adv. with A. Cartellier)





 $U_{gas} = 26 \text{ m/s}$ $U_{gas} = 98 \text{ m/s}$

Instability present over wide range of velocities

Frequency of flapping instability

- Capture of jet via image processing → break-up length, amplitude, frequency for several geometries
- Flapping frequency close to but smaller than axisymmetric KH waves frequency







<u>Mechanism</u>: shear instability waves \Rightarrow vortices \Rightarrow distortion of liquid jet

Stability of non axisymmetric modes?

• Stability of non axisymmetric (n=1) modes investigated within inviscid hypothesis



• Helical modes predicted to be more unstable than axisymmetric ones and associated frequency 20% smaller

→ Good agreement with experiments!

Impact on spray

Modification of velocity profile in injector \rightarrow forcing of flapping at fixed U_G and U_L





Optical probe measurements: Liquid redistributed differently when flapping is present: small droplets near axis, large droplets in periphery

Further atomization configurations

• Assisted atomization of a two-phase jet:



• Atomization of a jet of FC-72 injected in a depressurized reservoir (P=5 mbars):



 Rapid depressurization of a liquid: entrainment of FC-72 by its own vapour

